arXiv:1210.6852v1 [hep-ph] 25 Oct 2012

Prepared for submission to JHEP

Dark matter, singlet extensions of the νMSM, and symmetries

Kyle Allison

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford,
1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, United Kingdom

E-mail: `k.allison1@physics.ox.ac.uk`

Abstract: We consider an extension of the νMSM in which sterile neutrino masses originate from the VEV of a Higgs singlet ϕ and dark matter is produced through the decays of ϕ rather than through active-sterile neutrino oscillations. This model, which we refer to as the νNMSM, can readily satisfy constraints on warm dark matter from the Lyman-α forest. We show that the hierarchical parameters of the νNMSM can arise from symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale for two specific models of the scalar sector: one in which ϕ stabilizes the electroweak vacuum and one in which ϕ is the inflaton. These models have several experimental signatures that are distinct from the νMSM, including additional dark radiation that is relativistic at both primordial nucleosynthesis and CMB decoupling and, for the former, a large invisible branching ratio of the Higgs.

Contents

1 **Introduction** **1**

2 **The νMSM and dark matter production from a Higgs singlet** **3**
  2.1 The νMSM 3
  2.2 Dark matter production from a Higgs singlet 5

3 **Symmetries and the νNMSM** **7**
  3.1 Stabilization of the electroweak vacuum 10
  3.2 ϕ Inflation 13

4 **Conclusion** **15**

1 Introduction

The νMSM [1] is an extension of the Standard Model (SM) that attempts to explain all observed phenomena beyond the SM using only three sterile neutrinos with Majorana masses below the electroweak scale. In the νMSM, one sterile neutrino, N_1, is responsible for dark matter [2] while two additional sterile neutrinos, N_2 and N_3, are responsible for baryon asymmetry production [3]. Moreover, the Higgs boson with a non-minimal coupling to gravity is responsible for inflation [4].

Although a detailed study of the νMSM shows that this minimal model can explain most of the observed phenomena beyond the SM, there are several indications that an extension of the νMSM, such as by a Higgs singlet, may be necessary:

- *Lyman-α forest bound*: The Lyman-α forest [5] and other small scale structure [6, 7] impose severe constraints on the non-resonant production of warm dark matter in the νMSM [8]. Several solutions to this problem have been proposed, including a resonant production of dark matter from a large lepton asymmetry [9] and a dilution of dark matter from a late entropy release [10–12]. Generating a sufficiently large lepton asymmetry requires a high level of fine-tuning or the use of a Planck-scale symmetry and non-renormalizable operators [13], while generating a sufficiently large entropy dilution requires some new physics beyond the νMSM [10–12]. An attractive alternative to these scenarios uses the decays of a Higgs singlet to give a primordial production of dark matter [14–16] while the singlet's vacuum expectation value (VEV) provides an origin for the Majorana masses in the νMSM.

- *Electroweak vacuum stability*: For a Higgs mass in the range $m_h \simeq 125$–126 GeV [17, 18], the Higgs potential develops an instability below the Planck scale unless the top mass is about 2σ below its central value; for its central value, an instabililty develops at 10^9–10^{10} GeV [19]. It has been shown that the addition of a Higgs singlet below the instability scale can stabilize the potential through its contribution to the renormalization group evolution of the Higgs quartic coupling [20, 21] or through a tree-level scalar threshold effect [22].

- *Higgs inflation*: There has been some discussion about unitarity violation and the self-consistency of Higgs inflation with a non-minimal coupling $\xi H^\dagger H R$, where R is the scalar curvature and $\xi \sim 10^4$ (see [23] and references therein). In brief, this model of Higgs inflation violates unitarity at the scale $\Lambda_0 \sim M_{\rm Pl}/\xi$ when expanding about a small background Higgs field. Although the scale of unitarity violation is raised to $M_{\rm Pl}/\sqrt{\xi}$ when expanding about the large background Higgs field during inflation [23], if the theory is eventually embedded into a more complete one that is valid up to the Planck scale then new physics is expected to appear at $M_{\rm Pl}/\xi$ [24]. This scale of new physics is below the energy scales of Higgs inflation, and therefore the minimality of the model is lost. Several solutions have been proposed, including non-renormalizable Higgs interactions that accompany the non-minimal coupling and restore unitarity [25] as well as strong coupling in graviton exchange processes that only break unitarity perturbatively [26]. However, it has not yet been shown that these scenarios can actually be realized [26]. Alternatively, an extension of the νMSM by a Higgs singlet can "unitarize" Higgs inflation [24][1] or provide a workable scenario with the singlet as the inflaton [14–16].

- *Hierarchical parameters*: The νMSM requires a particular hierarchy in its Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings without an obvious origin. Motivation for such structure can come from an extension of the underlying symmetry of the model. In the context of the νMSM, models employing a U(1) flavour symmetry [27, 28], discrete flavour symmetries [29], and the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism [30] have been suggested for producing the pattern of Majorana masses in the νMSM. Such symmetries can provide motivation for extensions of the νMSM involving extra singlets.

In this paper, we consider an extension of the νMSM by a Higgs singlet ϕ to address some of these shortcomings and argue that underlying symmetries give the required pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings. In particular, our starting point is a model in which the decays of ϕ allow for primordial dark matter production consistent with the Lyman-α forest bound. This is arguably the simplest extension of the νMSM that provides an origin for the Majorana masses. We then demonstrate how symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale can produce the hierarchy of couplings for two versions of this model: one in which ϕ stabilizes the electroweak vacuum [22] and one in which ϕ is the inflaton [14–16].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the constraints on the νMSM and primordial dark matter production from a Higgs singlet. In Section 3, we demonstrate how symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale can produce the required pattern of

---

[1] In [26], it is argued that this is not a true completion of Higgs inflation but rather an induced gravity inflation model added onto the SM.

Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings for two versions of this model. Section 4 gives the conclusions.

2 The νMSM and dark matter production from a Higgs singlet

In this section, we first review the constraints on the νMSM and motivate the scenario of dark matter production from a Higgs singlet. We then discuss the constraints on dark matter production from a Higgs singlet.

2.1 The νMSM

The Lagrangian of the νMSM is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \bar{N}_I i\partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I}\bar{L}_\alpha N_I H - \frac{M_{IJ}}{2}\bar{N}_I^c N_J + \text{h.c.}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}$ is the SM Lagrangian, N_I $(I = 1, 2, 3)$ are the sterile neutrinos, L_α $(\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$ are the lepton doublets, H and ϕ are the Higgs doublet and singlet, respectively, $F_{\alpha I}$ are the Yukawa couplings for neutrinos, and M_{IJ} are the Majorana masses for the sterile neutrinos. One of the striking features of the νMSM is the highly constrained and hierarchical pattern of parameters required for successful baryogenesis and dark matter production. These constraints are often best expressed not in the basis N_I of (2.1) but in the basis of the *physical* mass eigenstates N_I^m with masses M_I and Yukawa couplings $\tilde{F}_{\alpha I}$. The two bases are related by the unitary transformation given in [27].

First, consider the constraints on N_2^m and N_3^m. The oscillations between N_2^m and N_3^m above T_{EW} produce a lepton asymmetry in the active neutrinos that is converted into a baryon asymmetry by sphalerons [3].[2] N_2^m and N_3^m cannot enter thermal equilibrium at temperatures much above T_{EW} or else the lepton asymmetry produced in their oscillations is wiped out, giving the constraint [27]

$$F_2 \lesssim 1.2 \times 10^{-6}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $F_I^2 \equiv \left(F^\dagger F\right)_{II}$ and, by convention, F_2 is taken to be larger than F_3 with $\epsilon \equiv F_3/F_2 \leq 1$. Similarly, masses $M_2, M_3 \ll T_{\text{EW}}$ are required so that lepton number violating processes are negligible for $T \gtrsim T_{\text{EW}}$; masses satisfying

$$M_2, M_3 \lesssim 20 \text{ GeV} \tag{2.3}$$

are generally considered acceptable [3, 31]. Meanwhile, effective baryon asymmetry production requires $M_2, M_3 \simeq M$ to be highly degenerate with a mass difference $\Delta M \equiv M_3 - M_2 \ll M$ [3]. The baryon asymmetry produced can be expressed as a function of $F_2, \epsilon, M, \Delta M$, and the neutrino hierarchy. Since active neutrino masses are generated via the seesaw mechanism, one of F_2, ϵ, and M (typically F_2) can be expressed in terms of the others with the relation [27]

$$\Delta m_{\text{atm}} \simeq \frac{\kappa v^2 \epsilon F_2^2}{2M}, \tag{2.4}$$

---

[2] $T_{\text{EW}} \simeq 140$ GeV for a Higgs mass $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV [31].

where $\Delta m_{\text{atm}} \simeq 0.05$ eV, $v = 246$ GeV, and $\kappa = 1(2)$ for the inverted (normal) hierarchy. Analytic expressions for the baryon asymmetry are given in [3, 32] while a numerical study has been carried out in [31]. The allowed range of each parameter individually is [31]

$$M \gtrsim 140 \text{ MeV}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$10^{-3} \text{ eV} \lesssim \Delta M \lesssim \text{MeV}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$10^{-4} \lesssim \epsilon \leq 1, \tag{2.7}$$

though the combination must produce the observed baryon asymmetry $n_B/s \simeq (8.4\text{–}8.9) \times 10^{-11}$ [33]. Note that the lower bound (2.5) comes from demanding that N_2^m and N_3^m decay before Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) [34, 35][3] and that a significant amount of parameter space for $M \lesssim 500$ MeV is ruled out by the CERN PS191 experiment and other accelerator bounds [31, 35]. For the parameter space allowed by (2.5)-(2.7), a lower bound on F_2 is approximately

$$F_2 \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-8}. \tag{2.8}$$

Now consider the constraints on the dark matter candidate N_1^m. Mixing with active neutrinos below T_{EW} allows the 1-loop decay $N_1^m \to \nu^m \gamma$ with width [1, 37]

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{N_1^m \to \nu^m \gamma} &= \frac{9\alpha G_F^2}{1024\pi^4} \sin^2(2\theta_1)\, M_1^5 \\ &\simeq 5.5 \times 10^{-22} \theta_1^2 \left(\frac{M_1}{\text{keV}}\right)^5 \text{s}^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\theta_1^2 = v^2 \tilde{F}_1^2 / \left(2M_1^2\right)$ and $\tilde{F}_1^2$ is evaluated with [27]

$$\tilde{F}_{\alpha 1} \sim F_{\alpha 1} + \frac{M_{12}}{M} F_{\alpha 2} + \frac{M_{13}}{M} F_{\alpha 3}. \tag{2.10}$$

The second and third terms on the right hand side of (2.10) are contributions to $\tilde{F}_{\alpha 1}$ induced by the mixing of N_1 with N_2 and N_3 to form the mass eigenstate N_1^m. Direct searches for the X/γ-ray line corresponding to this decay provide the strongest limits on θ_1 (as a function of M_1) for the mass range relevant to the νMSM. A summary of these limits is given in [8]. In general,

$$\theta_1^2 \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\text{keV}}{M_1}\right)^5 \tag{2.11}$$

must be satisfied for 0.5 keV $\lesssim M_1 \lesssim$ 14 MeV, though the constraint is typically 100 times stronger than (2.11) for masses outside the 12–40 keV range [8]. For N_1^m produced entirely from active-sterile neutrino oscillations, M_1 can be bounded above by combining the X-ray constraints with the requirement of sufficient dark matter production ($\propto \theta_1^2$). The bound obtained depends on the lepton asymmetry at the time of N_1^m production: a negligible lepton asymmetry is called the non-resonant production (NRP) scenario while a large lepton asymmetry is called the resonant production (RP) scenario. The bounds for these two scenarios are [8, 9, 11]

---

[3] Recent work [36] suggests this bound can been strengthened to $M \gtrsim 1.4$ GeV in the RP scenario, discussed later.

$$M_1^{\mathrm{NRP}} \lesssim 2.2\ \mathrm{keV}, \quad M_1^{\mathrm{RP}} \lesssim 40\ \mathrm{keV}. \tag{2.12}$$

Meanwhile, M_1 can be bounded below by phase-space density arguments for dwarf spheroidal galaxies [38], the Lyman-α forest data [5, 39], studies of gravitationally lensed QSOs [6], and N-body simulations of the Milky Way [7]. The bounds from the Lyman-α forest data and N-body simulations of the Milky Way are the strongest and give[4]

$$M_1^{\mathrm{NRP}} \gtrsim 13\ \mathrm{keV}, \quad M_1^{\mathrm{RP}} \gtrsim 2\ \mathrm{keV}. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.12) and (2.13) rules out the simpler NRP scenario, even with a possibly large entropy dilution from the decays of N_2^m and N_3^m [11]. The RP scenario is still allowed for a range of M_1; it requires an even larger degeneracy than (2.6), on the order $\Delta M \lesssim 10^{-7}$ eV, to produce the required lepton asymmetry for enhanced dark matter production [13]. This level of degeneracy is unstable in the presence of radiative corrections and must be achieved with either fine-tuning or an extension of the model by a Planck-scale symmetry and non-renormalizable operators [13].

2.2 Dark matter production from a Higgs singlet

An alternative dark matter production scenario that is capable of satisfying the Lyman-α forest bound uses a real Higgs singlet ϕ and its decays to N_1^m [14]. This scenario is arguably simpler than the RP scenario and has the advantage that Majorana masses originate from the VEV of ϕ rather than as bare mass terms. This extension of the νMSM, which we will call the *neutrino Next-to-Minimal Standard Model* (νNMSM), is the basis of this paper.

In the νNMSM, the Majorana mass term in the Lagrangian (2.1) is modified to

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\lambda_{IJ}}{2}\phi \bar{N}_I^c N_J, \tag{2.14}$$

where $M_{IJ} = \lambda_{IJ}\langle\phi\rangle$ once ϕ acquires a VEV. In the mass basis N_I^m, $\lambda_{IJ} \to \lambda_I$ where $M_I = \lambda_I\langle\phi\rangle$. The mixing angle θ_1^2 is assumed small enough that dark matter production from active-sterile neutrino oscillations is negligible and the X/γ-ray constraint (2.11) is satisfied.[5] Assuming no miraculous cancellations of terms in (2.10), this requires

$$F_{\alpha 1}, \frac{M_{12}}{M}F_{\alpha 2}, \frac{M_{13}}{M}F_{\alpha 3} \lesssim 10^{-13}. \tag{2.15}$$

With (2.15), one can show that the induced contributions to M_1 from M_{12} and M_{13} are small [27] and hence $\lambda_1 \simeq \lambda_{11}$. Dark matter production then proceeds via the decays

---

[4] These are the (Bayesian) 2σ bounds. Although [7] quotes a stronger bound for M_1^{RP}, it is based on a simple mass rescaling argument that is shown to be insufficient for a more rigorous analysis of the Lyman-α forest bound in the RP scenario [39].

[5] Since the NRP and RP bounds (2.12) no longer apply, M_1 may exceed the range in which (2.11) is valid. In this case, γ-ray constraints from EGRET [40] and FERMI [41] give $\tau \gtrsim 10^{26}$ s, or equivalently $\theta_1^2 \lesssim 2\times 10^{-20}\,(\mathrm{MeV}/M_1)^5$, for masses up to 30 TeV.

$\phi^m \to N_1^m N_1^m$ with the partial width [14]

$$\Gamma = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{16\pi} m_\phi \simeq \frac{\lambda_{11}^2}{16\pi} m_\phi, \tag{2.16}$$

where $m_\phi > 2M_1$ is the mass of the physical mass eigenstate ϕ^m.[6] This production depends on the thermal history of ϕ^m, specifically the ratio of its mass to its freeze-out temperature, $r_f \equiv m_\phi / T_f$ [42]. For the case that ϕ^m is in thermal equilibrium down to temperatures $T \ll m_\phi$ (i.e. $r_f \gg 1$), the dark matter abundance is given by [14]

$$\Omega_{N_1^m} \simeq \frac{0.2 f\left(m_\phi\right)}{S} \left(\frac{\lambda_{11}}{10^{-10}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_1}{4\ \text{keV}}\right) \left(\frac{\text{GeV}}{m_\phi}\right), \tag{2.17}$$

where $f\left(m_\phi\right) \simeq \left(10.75/g_*\left(m_\phi/3\right)\right)^{3/2}$ and $1 \leq S \lesssim 2$ is a factor that accounts for entropy production from the decays of N_2^m and N_3^m after N_1^m is produced.[7] Using $M_1 \simeq \lambda_{11} \langle\phi\rangle$ in (2.17), the appropriate dark matter abundance $\Omega_{N_1^m} \simeq 0.23$ is generated when

$$\lambda_{11} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{S}{f\left(m_\phi\right)}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{m_\phi}{\langle\phi\rangle}\right)^{1/3}. \tag{2.18}$$

For the case that ϕ^m is a thermal relic decaying out of equilibrium (i.e. $r_f \ll 1$), the dark matter abundance is given by [42]

$$\Omega_{N_1^m} \simeq \frac{0.3}{S} \left(\frac{M_1}{\text{keV}}\right) \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*\left(T_f\right)}\right) \left(\frac{B}{0.01}\right), \tag{2.19}$$

where $B \equiv \Gamma/\Gamma_\phi^{\text{tot}}$ is the branching ratio of $\phi^m \to N_1^m N_1^m$.[8] Analytic expressions relevant to the intermediate case $r_f \sim 1$ can be found in [42], and the result is a combination of (2.17) and (2.19).

The Lyman-α forest bound for this dark matter production mechanism can be estimated by rescaling the NRP bound, giving [11, 42]

$$M_1^{\text{Higgs}} \gtrsim 10 \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*\left(T_{\text{prod}}\right)}\right)^{1/3} \text{keV}, \tag{2.20}$$

where T_{prod} is the temperature at which N_1^m is produced. Further constraints come from the requirement that the interactions $\phi^m \leftrightarrow N_2^m N_2^m$ and $\phi^m \leftrightarrow N_3^m N_3^m$ (and any interactions SM $\leftrightarrow N_2^m N_2^m$ and SM $\leftrightarrow N_3^m N_3^m$ mediated by ϕ^m) do not bring N_2^m and N_3^m into thermal equilibrium at the characteristic temperature of leptogenesis [43]

$$T_L \sim \left(\frac{M \Delta M M_0}{3}\right)^{1/3}, \tag{2.21}$$

---

[6] We have assumed a small mixing angle $\theta_{h\phi}$ between the Higgs boson h and ϕ so that $\phi^m \simeq \phi$, $h^m \simeq h$, and the decays $h^m \to N_1^m N_1^m$ are negligible compared to $\phi^m \to N_1^m N_1^m$ [42]. This is a good approximation for both models considered in Section 3.

[7] Since N_1^m production peaks at $T_{\text{prod}} \equiv m_\phi/2.3$, (2.17) is a good approximation for $r_f \gtrsim 3$ [42].

[8] As in [42], we neglect any $\phi\phi$ annihilations that could reduce (2.19) by up to a factor of 2.

where $M_0 \simeq 7 \times 10^{17}$ GeV, and spoil baryogenesis.[9] Moreover, the addition of ϕ must not open an invisible branching ratio of the Higgs greater than 65% at 2σ [44]. These constraints are discussed further in Section 3 for specific models of the scalar sector.

Although we have assumed that ϕ is real in the discussion above, the possibility of a complex ϕ is worth considering since it allows for a richer symmetry structure to give the masses and couplings of the νNMSM. (We parametrize $\phi = (\rho + i\chi)/\sqrt{2}$ for a complex ϕ but use m_ϕ and ϕ^m instead of m_ρ and ρ^m to maintain consistency with the notation for a real ϕ.) Typically, ϕ is assumed real to avoid a massless Goldstone boson χ and hence the unsuitably fast decay channel $N_1^m \to \nu^m \chi$ for dark matter [14, 42, 45]. However, there are several ways to avoid this decay for a complex ϕ. First, if ϕ is charged under a discrete symmetry and terms of the form $\phi^n + \left(\phi^\dagger\right)^n$ are allowed, these terms give χ a mass and can kinematically forbid the decay $N_1^m \to \nu^m \chi$. If the analogous decays $N_2^m, N_3^m \to \nu^m \chi$ are still allowed, they can relax the constraint (2.5) to $M \gtrsim$ few MeV [27]. Alternatively, if ϕ is charged under a global continuous symmetry,[10] the mixing of N_1 with other neutrino species can be sufficiently reduced (or even forbidden) by a symmetry to suppress the decays $N_1^m \to \nu^m \chi$ to a massless χ. This case is particularly interesting since χ can contribute to the effective number of neutrino species and give a value of N_{eff} above the SM (or νMSM) prediction, as recent measurements prefer (see [46] and references therein).[11] The contribution of χ to N_{eff} depends on the freeze-out temperature T_f: it can be as large as $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \sim 1$ for a thermal distribution of χ or much smaller if χ decouples early. The Planck experiment and other future cosmic microwave background (CMB) experiments will therefore be able to constrain these models with a complex ϕ [48].

3 Symmetries and the νNMSM

The νNMSM, like the νMSM, requires parameters that are constrained to be hierarchically small. In the context of the νMSM, flavour symmetries and the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism have been explored for producing the required pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings [27–30]. Following this approach, we would like to demonstrate how the parameters of the νNMSM can arise from symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale. Since the values of some parameters (e.g. λ_{11} in (2.18)) depend on an unspecified scalar sector, we first keep the discussion general and then consider two specific models of the scalar sector: one in which ϕ stabilizes the electroweak vacuum [22] and one in which ϕ is the inflaton [14–16]. These models of the scalar sector, though motivated as minimal solutions to shortcomings of the νMSM, are only meant to provide definite examples for the symmetries used in the flavour sector; other models may certainly be considered. We do not provide an explanation for the values of parameters in the scalar sector or the associated hierarchy problem since little is known about their origin.

---

[9] If these interactions bring N_2^m and N_3^m into thermal equilibrium below T_L, the asymmetry in the sterile neutrinos will be destroyed but the asymmetry in the active neutrinos will remain.

[10] We assume a global symmetry to avoid introducing a new low-energy gauge sector.

[11] The real component of ϕ can also contribute to N_{eff} during BBN if $m_\phi \lesssim 10$ MeV [47]. For the models of the scalar sector considered in Section 3, however, $m_\phi \gg 10$ MeV.

	N_1	N_2	N_3	L_α	H	ϕ
U(1)	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	2
Z_3	1	1	1	1	0	1

Table 1. Examples of an anomaly-free global U(1) and Z_3 symmetry that can give the Lagrangian structure (3.1). The SM fermions not shown have $B-L$ charges under the U(1) while only E_α (the right-handed charged leptons) have charges, 1, under the Z_3.

First consider how the structure of the νNMSM Lagrangian,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = -F_{\alpha I}\bar{L}_\alpha N_I H - \frac{\lambda_{IJ}}{2}\phi\bar{N}_I^c N_J + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3.1}$$

can arise from an underlying symmetry without regard to the size of the couplings $F_{\alpha I}$ and λ_{IJ}. There are several ways this structure can arise:

- *Conformal symmetry/scale invariance*: The structure (3.1), which has only terms with dimensionless couplings, can arise from models with a classical conformal symmetry [49] or hidden scale invariance [50]. These models have been motivated as a solution to the hierarchy problem: the conformal symmetry forbids tree-level scalar mass terms while radiative breaking of this symmetry by the conformal anomaly is responsible for electroweak symmetry breaking and, in [50], a hierarchy between the electroweak and Planck scales from a choice of large scale f. Unfortunately, existing models of this type are not fully realistic.

- *Global U(1) symmetry*: For a complex ϕ, the structure (3.1) can arise from a global U(1) symmetry under which ϕ is charged (we use a global symmetry to avoid introducing a new low-energy gauge sector). Such symmetries can arise from string theory as the remnant of a non-linearly realized U(1) gauge symmetry in which the gauge boson acquires a large (string scale) mass through its coupling to a Stueckelberg field [51]. For a consistent model, the underlying U(1) gauge symmetry must be anomaly-free or Green-Schwarz anomalous [52, 53]. An anomaly-free example in which matter fields have U$(1)_{B-L}$ charges is given in Table 1.

- *Discrete Z_N symmetry*: A discrete Z_N symmetry can also give the structure (3.1). Such symmetries can arise from the spontaneous breaking of a continuous symmetry at a high scale [54] or from coupling selection rules on heterotic orbifolds (see [55] and references therein). Note that it is often easier to satisfy the anomaly cancellation conditions for Z_N symmetries [55, 56] than those for U(1) symmetries: an anomaly-free Z_3 example is given in Table 1.[12] However, the spontaneous breaking of discrete symmetries can produce domain walls [59] and care must be taken to avoid these, such as by breaking the discrete symmetry before inflation [60].

---

[12] The mixed Z_N-U$(1)_Y$-U$(1)_Y$ anomaly does not pose a meaningful constraint since the hypercharge normalization is not fixed [57, 58].

Although either a global U(1) or discrete Z_N symmetry can give the desired Lagrangian structure (3.1), we use a global U(1) to avoid introducing domain walls.

Now consider the hierarchy of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings in the νNMSM. To explain the small Yukawa couplings $\tilde{F}_{\alpha 1} \lesssim 10^{-13}$ and, for a complex ϕ, to prevent the fast dark matter decay channel $N_1^m \to \nu^m \chi$, we introduce a Z_2 symmetry under which only N_1 is charged (see Table 2).[13] This symmetry allows only the couplings

$$\lambda_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{22} & \lambda_{23} \\ 0 & \lambda_{23} & \lambda_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{\alpha I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{e2} & F_{e3} \\ 0 & F_{\mu 2} & F_{\mu 3} \\ 0 & F_{\tau 2} & F_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

and hence forbids mixing of N_1 with the other neutrinos, making N_1^m completely stable ($\theta_1 = 0$) and one active neutrino exactly massless. The required pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings can then be produced if there are strong hierarchies in the remaining λ_{IJ} and $F_{\alpha I}$, specifically if

$$\begin{gathered} \lambda_{11} \sim \frac{M_1}{\langle\phi\rangle}, \quad \lambda_{23} \sim \frac{M}{\langle\phi\rangle}, \quad \max\{\lambda_{22}, \lambda_{33}\} \sim \frac{\Delta M}{\langle\phi\rangle}, \\ F_{\alpha 2} \sim F_2, \quad F_{\alpha 3} \sim F_3. \end{gathered} \tag{3.3}$$

We consider two possibilities for generating these hierarchies:

- *Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism*: The Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism [61] is a well-known method of generating hierarchical parameters. In brief, a new $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ gauge symmetry that is spontaneously broken by a flavon field ϑ at a very high scale is introduced. Fields of the νNMSM are charged under this $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ so that ϑ (or $\vartheta^\dagger$) must couple to the terms in (3.1) with various powers to form gauge singlets. After the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ is spontaneously broken, these non-renormalizable terms are suppressed by powers of $\eta \equiv \langle\vartheta\rangle / M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$, where η is a free parameter (though typically assumed to be on the order of the Cabibbo angle [30, 62]). Of course, multiple flavon fields ϑ_i with various $\eta_i \equiv \langle\vartheta_i\rangle / M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ may be used, as well as a discrete Z_N symmetry in place of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$.

- *Non-perturbative symmetry breaking*: Another possibility for generating hierarchical parameters comes from non-perturbative symmetry breaking in string theory. In [63], for example, it is shown that heterotic string compactifications on Calabi-Yau manifolds can give models with the SM gauge group and additional U(1) symmetries. These additional symmetries can play a role analogous to that of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$: if the νNMSM fields are charged under these symmetries, the terms in (3.1) may require couplings to various powers of $\vartheta_i \equiv e^{-T^i/M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}$ to form gauge singlets, where $T^i = t^i + 2i\chi^i$ are Kähler moduli with axionic components χ^i (not to be confused with the Goldstone boson χ) that transform non-linearly under the U(1). After these symmetries are spontaneously broken by $\langle t^i \rangle \gg M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ [63, 64], the terms in (3.1) are suppressed by powers of $\eta_i \equiv e^{-\langle t^i \rangle / M_{\rm Pl}}$. Again, discrete Z_N symmetries may be used in place of the U(1) symmetries.

---

[13] The anomaly cancellation conditions for this Z_2 are trivially satisfied. Therefore this symmetry is exact at the quantum level.

Although either mechanism may be used to generate the hierarchical parameters (3.3) for the same charge assignment, the non-perturbative symmetry breaking does not require additional symmetry breaking or scalar particles below the Planck scale and hence adheres closer to the "minimal" philosophy of the νMSM. From a more practical point of view, the non-perturbative symmetry breaking may be necessary if a Z_N symmetry, used in place of $\mathrm{U(1)_{FN}}$, would otherwise be broken after inflation and produce domain walls [60].

To fix the absolute scale of the couplings λ_{IJ}, the values of m_ϕ and $\langle \phi \rangle$ must be fixed (see (2.18) and (3.3)) by some model of the scalar sector. We now consider two models of the scalar sector that are motivated as solutions to the shortcomings of the νMSM, as discussed in Section 1.

3.1 Stabilization of the electroweak vacuum

A model of the scalar sector in which a Higgs singlet can stabilize the electroweak vacuum through a scalar threshold effect is given in [22]. This model considers a complex ϕ and scalar potential of the form

$$\begin{aligned} V = \lambda_h \left(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 + \lambda_\phi \left(\phi^\dagger \phi - \frac{w^2}{2} \right)^2 \\ + 2\lambda_{h\phi} \left(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \left(\phi^\dagger \phi - \frac{w^2}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

which is the most general renormalizable potential that respects a global abelian symmetry under which only ϕ is charged. Values of $\lambda_h, \lambda_\phi > 0$ and $\lambda_{h\phi}^2 < \lambda_h \lambda_\phi$ are assumed so that the minimum of this potential is given by

$$\left\langle H^\dagger H \right\rangle = \frac{v^2}{2}, \quad \left\langle \phi^\dagger \phi \right\rangle = \frac{w^2}{2}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $v = 246$ GeV. The mass matrix for the real components of H and ϕ is then

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_h v^2 & \lambda_{h\phi} v w \\ \lambda_{h\phi} v w & \lambda_\phi w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.6}$$

while the imaginary component of ϕ (i.e. χ) remains massless. In contrast to other models that use a Higgs singlet to stabilize the electroweak vacuum (e.g. [20, 21]), this model assumes $w \gg v$. The two eigenstates of (3.6) then have masses

$$\begin{aligned} m_h^2 &= 2v^2 \left[\lambda_h - \frac{\lambda_{h\phi}^2}{\lambda_\phi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v^2}{w^2} \right) \right], \\ m_\phi^2 &= 2w^2 \left[\lambda_\phi + \frac{\lambda_{h\phi}^2}{\lambda_\phi} \left(\frac{v^2}{w^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v^4}{w^4} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

with a mixing angle $\theta_{h\phi} \sim v/w$. Integrating out the heavier state for scales below m_ϕ gives the effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \lambda \left(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 , \quad \lambda \equiv \lambda_h - \frac{\lambda_{h\phi}^2}{\lambda_\phi}, \tag{3.8}$$

where the matching condition for the Higgs quartic coupling gives a tree-level shift $\delta\lambda \equiv \lambda_{h\phi}^2/\lambda_\phi$ from λ just below m_ϕ to λ_h just above m_ϕ. Provided m_ϕ is below the instability scale $\Lambda \simeq 10^9$–10^{10} GeV [19], a value of $\delta\lambda \simeq 0.01$ can push the instability beyond the Planck scale.

Due to the massless Goldstone boson χ, the value of $\lambda_{h\phi}$ is constrained by limits on the invisible branching ratio of the Higgs. For $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV, the total SM decay width of the Higgs is [65]

$$\Gamma_{\text{SM}} = 4.07 \text{ MeV}, \tag{3.9}$$

while the invisible decay width for $h^m \to \chi\chi$ is [20]

$$\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = \frac{\lambda_{h\phi}^2 v^2}{8\pi m_h}. \tag{3.10}$$

Allowing an invisible branching ratio of up to 65% [44] gives the constraint

$$\lambda_{h\phi}(m_h) \lesssim 0.02. \tag{3.11}$$

A value of $\delta\lambda$ that stabilizes the electroweak vacuum and is consistent (3.11) can then be obtained for $\lambda_\phi \lesssim 0.04$ (the running of $\lambda_{h\phi}$ and λ_ϕ is small for these values). We illustrate this by constructing a model with $\lambda_{h\phi}, \lambda_\phi \sim 0.01$. For these values, there is an invisible branching ratio of the Higgs of about 30%. Moreover, one can show that χ remains in thermal equilibrium down to temperatures just below m_μ. The model therefore has a $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 4/7$ contribution to the effective number of neutrino species from χ and hence a total value of $N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 3.6$. This value can be tested by the Planck experiment and other future CMB experiments [48].

Now consider the flavour sector of the νNMSM for this model of the scalar sector. For $\lambda_{h\phi} \sim 0.01$, the interactions $H^\dagger H \leftrightarrow \phi^m\phi^m$ keep ϕ^m (the real component of ϕ) in thermal equilibrium down to temperatures $T \ll m_\phi$ for any mass $m_\phi \lesssim \Lambda$. We are therefore in the dark matter production case $r_f \gg 1$. For $\lambda_\phi \sim 0.01$, the ratio $m_\phi / \langle\phi\rangle$ is fixed by (3.7) and (2.18) gives a value of

$$\lambda_{11} \sim 1 \times 10^{-8} \tag{3.12}$$

to produce the correct dark matter abundance.[14] The Lyman-α forest bound (2.20) is therefore satisfied for a choice $\langle\phi\rangle \gtrsim 500$ GeV. Taking $\langle\phi\rangle \simeq 10^8$ GeV, a pattern of masses M_{IJ} and couplings $F_{\alpha I}$ that gives the correct dark matter abundance and baryon asymmetry can be achieved with two fields ϑ_1, ϑ_2, the values $\eta_1 \simeq 10^{-8}, \eta_2 \simeq 10^{-7}$, and the charge assignments given in Table 2. We stress that this is the simplest example of an

---

[14] Here we have used $S \simeq 1$ due to the fast decays $N_{2,3}^m \to \nu^m\chi$ and taken $m_\phi \gtrsim T_{\text{EW}}$ for $f(m_\phi)$. Also, λ_{11} must be a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ larger than in (2.18) for a complex ϕ since only the real component of ϕ can decay to N_1^m.

	N_1	N_2	N_3	L_α	H	ϕ	ϑ_1	ϑ_2
U(1)	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	2	0	0
U(1)$'$	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0
Z_3	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1
Z_2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Charge assignments for the stabilization of the electroweak vacuum scenario. The SM fermions not shown have $B-L$ charges under the global U(1) and are uncharged under the global U(1)$'$, Z_3, and Z_2.

anomaly-free model we could find, though other charge assignments are possible.[15]

In this model, we use a global U(1) symmetry to give the structure (3.1) and the potential (3.4), as well as a global U(1)$'$ and discrete Z_3 and Z_2 symmetries to give the textures

$$\lambda_{IJ} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_1\eta_2 & \eta_1 \\ 0 & \eta_1 & \eta_1\eta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{\alpha I} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_2 & \eta_2 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & \eta_2 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}$$

(Note that we implicitly assume the terms $\vartheta_i^\dagger \vartheta_i H^\dagger H$ and $\vartheta_i^\dagger \vartheta_i \phi^\dagger \phi$ are sufficiently small to preserve (3.4).) The parameters of the νNMSM are then

$$\begin{gathered} M_1 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}, \quad M \sim 1 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Delta M \sim 100 \text{ eV}, \\ F_{\alpha 2} \sim 1\times 10^{-7}, \quad F_{\alpha 3} \sim 1 \times 10^{-7}, \end{gathered} \tag{3.14}$$

up to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constants. Active neutrino mixing is anarchical (up to charged lepton corrections) while the charged lepton and quark Yukawa couplings remain unsuppressed. Therefore additional flavour symmetries with anomaly cancellation techniques along the lines of [30, 66] must be used to produce hierarchies in the charged lepton and quark sectors. As a consistency check on this model, we must verify that N_2^m and N_3^m are out of thermal equilibrium at the leptogenesis temperature $T_L \sim 3\times 10^3$ GeV. Since $m_\phi \simeq 2\times 10^7$ GeV $\gg T_L$, ϕ^m has decayed away by T_L and we need only consider the scattering processes $H^\dagger H \leftrightarrow N_2^m N_2^m$ and $H^\dagger H \leftrightarrow N_3^m N_3^m$ mediated by ϕ^m and χ.[16] For $\lambda_{h\phi} \sim 0.01$, these processes are out of equilibrium at T_L for $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \simeq \lambda_{23} \lesssim 10^{-5}$, which is certainly satisfied by (3.13).

This model demonstrates that it is possible to use symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale to obtain the hierarchical pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings required for successful baryogenesis and dark matter production. The model obeys all phenomenological constraints and allows for the possibility of Higgs inflation by ensuring that the Higgs potential does not develop a second minimum before the Planck scale.[17] Of course, the symmetries used do not address the hierarchy problem associated with radiative corrections to the Higgs sector. To do so would involve implementing a supersymmetric version of the theory, which departs from the underlying philosophy of the νMSM, or implementing a conformal symmetry solution, which requires an understanding of how to include gravity in such a theory. This is something we cannot do at present.

---

[15] The Z_3 and Z_2 symmetries could be combined in a single Z_6, if desired.

[16] Note that a relic CP-even distribution of N_2^m and N_3^m from the decays of ϕ^m does not affect leptogenesis.

[17] If the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism is used, the spontaneous breaking of the Z_3 symmetry below the Planck scale may or may not lead to domain walls (depending on the underlying potential) [60]. If necessary, a simple way to avoid these domain walls is to take η_2 smaller (i.e. break the discrete symmetry at a higher scale) and require higher powers of ϑ_2 to couple to N_2 and N_3. For example, one could take $\eta_2 \simeq 5\times 10^{-3}$ and replace the Z_3 with a Z_9 under which (N_2,N_3,ϑ_2) have charges (3,-3,1).

3.2 ϕ Inflation

A model of the scalar sector in which ϕ can be a light inflaton ($m_\phi < m_h$) and thus provide an alternative to Higgs inflation is given in [14] and developed further in [15, 16]. The scalar potential of this model is

$$V(H,\phi) = \lambda\left(H^\dagger H - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\phi^\dagger\phi\right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{4}\left(\phi^\dagger\phi\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^\dagger\phi, \tag{3.15}$$

where $m \ll \sqrt{\beta}M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ so that chaotic inflation proceeds via the quartic term and, in contrast to [14–16], we have taken ϕ to be complex so that the Lagrangian structure (3.1) can arise from a global U(1) symmetry. The potential (3.15) is then the most general renormalizable potential that respects a global U(1) symmetry under which only ϕ is charged, assuming the bare mass term for the Higgs is negligible.[18] Successful chaotic inflation[19] requires $\beta \simeq 1.5\times 10^{-13}$ to give the correct amplitude of adiabatic scalar perturbations and $\alpha \lesssim 10^{-7}$, $\lambda_{IJ} \lesssim 1.5\times 10^{-3}$ to ensure that the flatness of the potential is not spoiled by radiative corrections from the loops of SM particles and sterile neutrinos [16]. Achieving a sufficiently high reheating temperature $T_r > T_L$ for baryogenesis requires $\alpha \gtrsim 7\times 10^{-10}$ [15]. Moreover, a value of $\lambda \simeq 0.13$ is required for $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV. For these parameters, expanding the potential (3.15) about its minimum gives the relations

$$\begin{gathered}\langle H\rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\phi\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\alpha}}v, \quad m_h \simeq \sqrt{2\lambda}v, \\ m_\phi \simeq m \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\beta\lambda}{2\alpha}}v, \quad \theta_{h\phi} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}},\end{gathered} \tag{3.16}$$

where $v = 246$ GeV. Note that we do not provide an explanation for the small values of α and β in the scalar potential; we simply take their values to be within the range allowed by successful inflation. Also note that, for $\alpha \lesssim 10^{-7}$, the invisible branching ratio of the Higgs is negligible.

Now consider the flavour sector of the νNMSM for this model of the scalar sector. As in [14], we assume an inflaton mass 300 MeV $\lesssim m_\phi < m_h$ so that the mixing angle $\theta_{h\phi}$ is large enough to keep ϕ^m in thermal equilibrium down to temperatures $T \ll m_\phi$ via the interactions $\phi^m \leftrightarrow e^-e^+, \phi^m \leftrightarrow \mu^-\mu^+$, etc.. We are therefore in the dark matter production case $r_f \gg 1$. The ratio $m_\phi/\langle\phi\rangle = \sqrt{\beta}$ is fixed by (3.16) and (2.18) gives a value of

$$\lambda_{11} \sim 3\times 10^{-11} \tag{3.17}$$

---

[18] For a real ϕ, (3.15) was originally presented as the most general scale-invariant potential in which the scale invariance is explicitly broken by a mass term for ϕ [14].

[19] As mentioned in [14], chaotic inflation with a quartic potential is disfavoured by WMAP data [67]. However, a non-minimal coupling to gravity can help bring this model in line with the data [68].

	N_1	N_2	N_3	L_α	H	ϕ	ϑ_1	ϑ_2
U(1)	5	-4	-4	-1	0	2	3	0
U(1)′	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Z_4	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1
Z_2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3. Charge assignments for the ϕ inflation scenario. The SM fermions not shown have $B-L$ charges under the global U(1) and are uncharged under the global U(1)′, Z_4 and Z_2.

to produce correct dark matter abundance.[20] The absolute scale of $\langle\phi\rangle$, however, is not fixed. There is a relatively narrow window 7×10^5 GeV $\lesssim\langle\phi\rangle\lesssim 5\times10^6$ GeV that is consistent with the constraints on α, the assumption $m_\phi\gtrsim 300$ MeV, and the Lyman-α forest bound.[21] Taking $\langle\phi\rangle\simeq10^6$ GeV, a pattern of masses M_{IJ} and couplings $F_{\alpha I}$ that gives the correct dark matter abundance and baryon asymmetry can be achieved with two fields ϑ_1,ϑ_2, the values $\eta_1\simeq2\times10^{-3},\eta_2\simeq5\times10^{-5}$, and the charge assignments given in Table 3. Again, this is the simplest anomaly-free model we could find, though other charge assignments are possible.

In this model, we use global U(1) and U(1)′ symmetries to give the structure (3.1) and the potential (3.15) (the U(1)′ forbids the terms $\phi^3(\vartheta_1^\dagger)^2+(\phi^\dagger)^3\vartheta_1^2$ and hence prevents a discrete Z_3 from forming once ϑ_1 acquires a VEV), as well as discrete Z_4 and Z_2 symmetries to give the textures

$$\lambda_{IJ}\sim\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1^4 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \eta_1^2\eta_2^2 & \eta_1^2\\ 0 & \eta_1^2 & \eta_1^2\eta_2^2\end{pmatrix},\quad F_{\alpha I}\sim\begin{pmatrix}0 & \eta_1\eta_2 & \eta_1\eta_2\\ 0 & \eta_1\eta_2 & \eta_1\eta_2\\ 0 & \eta_1\eta_2 & \eta_1\eta_2\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.18}$$

(Again, we implicitly assume the couplings for $\vartheta_i^\dagger\vartheta_iH^\dagger H$ and $\vartheta_i^\dagger\vartheta_i\phi^\dagger\phi$ are sufficiently small to preserve (3.15).) The parameters of the νNMSN are then

$$\begin{gathered}M_1\sim20\text{ keV},\quad M\sim4\text{ GeV},\quad \Delta M\sim10\text{ eV},\\ F_{\alpha2}\sim1\times10^{-7},\quad F_{\alpha3}\sim1\times10^{-7},\end{gathered} \tag{3.19}$$

up to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constants. As before, active neutrino mixing is anarchical (up to charged lepton corrections) and additional flavour symmetries must be used to produce hierarchies in the charged lepton and quark sectors. We also have the parameters

$$\alpha\simeq4\times10^{-9},\quad m_\phi\simeq300\text{ MeV},\quad \theta_{h\phi}\simeq2\times10^{-4}. \tag{3.20}$$

For these values, it can be shown that χ remains in thermal equilibrium roughly while ϕ^m does (to temperatures below m_μ) via the interactions $\phi^m\leftrightarrow\chi\chi$. The massless χ therefore contributes $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 4/7$ to the effective number of neutrino species. As a consistency check on this model, we must verify that N_2^m and N_3^m are out of thermal equilibrium at the leptogenesis temperature $T_L \sim 2 \times 10^3$ GeV. Since $m_\phi < 2M$, the processes $\phi^m \to N_2^m N_2^m$ and $\phi^m \to N_3^m N_3^m$ are kinematically forbidden and the dominant processes are $H^\dagger H \leftrightarrow N_2^m N_2^m$ and $H^\dagger H \leftrightarrow N_3^m N_3^m$. These are out of equilibrium at T_L for $\lambda_{23} \lesssim 0.01$, which is satisfied by (3.18). One can also verify that the reheating temperature can be as large as $T_r \simeq 5 \times 10^3$ GeV for $\alpha \simeq 4 \times 10^{-9}$ [15], which is above the leptogenesis temperature.

---

[20] We have anticipated $S\simeq1$ and $m_\phi\simeq300$ MeV in obtaining (3.17), though these parameters only have an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ effect on λ_{11}.

[21] For $m_h\simeq125$ GeV, the constraints on α can be strengthened by limits on axion searches in the CHARM experiment [16]. The mass range allowed by this experiment, 270 MeV $\lesssim m_\phi\lesssim$ 1.8 GeV, corresponds to $2\times10^{-10}\lesssim\alpha\lesssim8\times10^{-9}$.

This model demonstrates that, for a scenario in which ϕ is a light inflaton, it is again possible to use symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale to obtain the pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings required for successful baryogenesis and dark matter production. This model obeys all phenomenological constraints and provides an alternative to the Higgs inflation of the νMSM, but it does not improve the stability of the electroweak vacuum.

4 Conclusion

The νMSM is an extension of the SM that attempts to explain neutrino oscillations, dark matter, the baryon asymmetry of the universe, and inflation using only three sterile neutrinos with masses below the electroweak scale. Despite the phenomenological successes of the νMSM, an extension of the model may be necessary to accommodate the Lyman-α forest bound, stabilize the electroweak vacuum, allow for Higgs inflation, and provide an explanation for its hierarchical pattern of masses and couplings. In this paper, we have studied how an extension of the νMSM by a Higgs singlet ϕ can address these issues.

Our starting point has been a model in which the decays of ϕ give primordial dark matter production consistent with the Lyman-α forest bound and in which the VEV of ϕ produces the Majorana masses of the sterile neutrinos. From this next-to-minimal model, or νNMSM, we have considered two versions of the scalar sector that can address other shortcomings of the νMSM: one in which a complex ϕ stabilizes the electroweak vacuum via a scalar threshold effect, thereby allowing for the possibility of Higgs inflation; and one in which a complex ϕ provides an alternative to Higgs inflation but does not improve the stability of the electroweak vacuum. For both of these models, we have demonstrated how symmetries broken at or near the Planck scale can produce the required hierarchical pattern of Majorana masses and Yukawa couplings. The former model uses a $\mathrm{U}(1)^2 \times Z_3 \times Z_2$ symmetry while the latter uses a $\mathrm{U}(1)^2 \times Z_4 \times Z_2$ symmetry. We have not, however, provided an explanation for the parameters of the scalar sector or addressed the hierarchy problem associated with radiative corrections to the Higgs sector.

The models presented in this paper satisfy all phenomenological constraints and make several experimental predictions that are distinct from the νMSM. These predictions include completely stable N_1^m dark matter (hence no visible X/γ-ray signals from its decays) and anarchical active neutrino mixing angles (up to charged lepton corrections) with one active neutrino exactly massless. Moreover, both models have $N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 3.6$ for the effective number of neutrino species while the former model has an invisible branching ratio of the

Higgs of about 30%. It will therefore be possible to test these models with the Planck experiment and the LHC in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Commission under the Marie Curie Initial Training Network UNILHC 237920 (Unification in the LHC era). Contents reflect only the author's views and not the views of the European Commission.

References

[1] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, *The role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics*, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59** (2009) 191–214, [`arXiv:0901.0011`].

[2] T. Asaka, S. Blanchet, and M. Shaposhnikov, *The νMSM, dark matter and neutrino masses*, *Phys. Lett. B* **631** (2005) 151–156, [`hep-ph/0503065`].

[3] T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, *The νMSM, dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **620** (2005) 17–26, [`hep-ph/0505013`].

[4] F. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, *The standard model Higgs boson as the inflaton*, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 703–706, [`arXiv:0710.3755`].

[5] A. Boyarsky, J. Lesgourgues, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Viel, *Lyman-α constraints on warm and on warm-plus-cold dark matter models*, *JCAP* **05** (2009) 012, [`arXiv:0812.0010`].

[6] M. Miranda and A. Macci, *Constraining warm dark matter using QSO gravitational lensing*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **382** (2007) 1225, [`arXiv:0706.0896`].

[7] E. Polisensky and M. Ricotti, *Constraints on the dark matter particle mass from the number of milky way satellites*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 043506, [`arXiv:1004.1459`].

[8] C. Watson, Z. Li, and N. Polleya, *Constraining sterile neutrino warm dark matter with Chandra observations of the Andromeda galaxy*, *JCAP* **03** (2012) 018, [`arXiv:1111.4217`].

[9] M. Laine and M. Shaposhnikov, *Sterile neutrino dark matter as a consequence of νMSM-induced lepton asymmetry*, *JCAP* **06** (2008) 031, [`arXiv:0804.4543`].

[10] T. Asaka, M. Shaposhnikov, and A. Kusenko, *Opening a new window for warm dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **638** (2006) 401–406, [`hep-ph/0602150`].

[11] T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, *Lightest sterile neutrino abundance within the νMSM*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 091, [`hep-ph/0612182`].

[12] F. Bezrukov, H. Hettmansperger, and M. Lindner, *keV sterile neutrino dark matter in gauge extensions of the standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 085032, [`arXiv:0912.4415`].

[13] A. Roy and M. Shaposhnikov, *Resonant production of the sterile neutrino dark matter and fine-tunings in the neutrino minimal standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 056014, [`arXiv:1006.4008`].

[14] M. Shaposhnikov and I. Tkachev, *The νMSM, inflation, and dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **639** (2006) 414–417, [`hep-ph/0604236`].

[15] A. Anisimov, Y. Bartocci, and F. Bezrukov, *Inflaton mass in the νMSM inflation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **671** (2009) 211–215, [`arXiv:0809.1097`].

[16] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, *Light inflaton hunter's guide*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 010, [arXiv:0912.0390].

[17] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1–29, [arXiv:1207.7214].

[18] **CMS** Collaboration, *Observation of a new boson at a mass 125 Gev with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30–61, [arXiv:1207.7235].

[19] J. Elias-Miro, J. Espinosa, G. Giudice, G. Isidori, A. Riotto, and A. Strumia, *Higgs mass implications on the stability of the electroweak vacuum*, *Phys. Lett. B* **709** (2012) 222–228, [arXiv:1112.3022].

[20] O. Lebedev and H. Lee, *Higgs portal inflation*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1821, [arXiv:1105.2284].

[21] C. Chen and Y. Tang, *Vacuum stability, neutrinos, and dark matter*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 019, [arXiv:1202.5717].

[22] J. Elias-Miro, J. Espinosa, G. Giudice, H. Lee, and A. Strumia, *Stabilization of the electroweak vacuum by a scalar threshold effect*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 031, [arXiv:1203.0237].

[23] F. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, M. Shaposhnikov, and S. Sibiryakov, *Higgs inflation: consistency and generalisations*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 016, [arXiv:1008.5157].

[24] G. Giudice and H. Lee, *Unitarizing Higgs inflation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **694** (2011) 294–300, [arXiv:1010.1417].

[25] R. Lerner and J. McDonald, *A unitarity-conserving Higgs inflation model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 103525, [arXiv:1005.2978].

[26] R. Lerner and J. McDonald, *Unitarity-violation in generalize Higgs inflation models*, arXiv:1112.0954.

[27] M. Shaposhnikov, *A possible symmetry of the νMSM*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **763** (2007) 49–59, [hep-ph/0605047].

[28] M. Lindner, A. Merle, and V. Niro, *Soft $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ flavour symmetry breaking and sterile neutrino keV dark matter*, *JCAP* **07** (2011) 034, [arXiv:1011.4950].

[29] T. Araki and Y. Li, *Q_6 flavor symmetry model for the extension of the minimal standard model by three right-handed sterile neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 065016, [arXiv:1112.5819].

[30] A. Merle and V. Niro, *Deriving models for keV sterile neutrino dark matter with the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism*, *JCAP* **07** (2011) 023, [arXiv:1105.5136].

[31] L. Canetti and M. Shaposhnikov, *Baryon asymmetry of the universe in the νMSM*, *JCAP* **09** (2010) 001, [arXiv:1006.0133].

[32] T. Asaka and H. Ishida, *Flavour mixing of neutrinos and baryon asymmetry of the universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **692** (2010) 105–113, [arXiv:1004.5491].

[33] M. Shaposhnikov, *Baryon asymmetry of the universe and neutrinos*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **122** (2009) 185–203.

[34] M. Shaposhnikov, *The νMSM, leptonic asymmetries, and properties of singlet fermions*, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 008, [arXiv:0804.4542].

[35] O. Ruchayskiy and A. Ivashko, *Experimental bounds on sterile neutrino mixing angles*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 100, [arXiv:1112.3319].

[36] V. Gorkavenko, I. Rudenok, and S. Vilchynskiy, *Leptonic asymmetry of the sterile neutrino hadronic decays in the νMSM*, arXiv:1201.0003.

[37] P. Pal and L. Wolfenstein, *Radiative decays of massive neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 766–773.

[38] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and D. Iakubovskyi, *A lower bound on the mass of dark matter particles*, *JCAP* **03** (2009) 005, [arXiv:0808.3902].

[39] A. Boyarsky, J. Lesgourgues, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Viel, *Realistic sterile neutrino dark matter with keV mass does not contradict cosmological bounds*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 201304, [arXiv:0812.3256].

[40] G. Bertone, W. Buchmulller, L. Covi, and A. Ibarra, *Gamma-rays from decaying dark matter*, *JCAP* **11** (2007) 003, [arXiv:0709.2299].

[41] M. Cirelli, E. Moulin, P. Panci, P. Serpico, and A. Viana, *Gamma ray constraints on decaying dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 083506, arXiv:1205.5283.

[42] K. Petraki and A. Kusenko, *Dark-matter sterile neutrinos in models with a gauge singlet in the Higgs sector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065014, [arXiv:0711.4646].

[43] T. Asaka, S. Eijima, and H. Ishida, *Kinetic equations for baryogenesis via sterile neutrino oscillation*, *JCAP* **02** (2012) 021, [arXiv:1112.5565].

[44] J. Espinosa, M. Muhlleitner, C. Grojean, and M. Trott, *Probing for invisible Higgs decays with global fits*, *JHEP* **09** (2012) 126, [arXiv:1205.6790].

[45] A. Kusenko, *Sterile neutrinos, dark matter, and pulsar velocities in models with a Higgs singlet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 241301, [hep-ph/0609081].

[46] K. Abazajian et. al, *Light sterile neutrinos: A white paper*, arXiv:1204.5379.

[47] C. Boehm, M. Dolan, and C. McCabe, *Increasing N_{eff} with particles in thermal equilibrium with neutrinos*, arXiv:1207.0497.

[48] S. Galli, M. Martinelli, A. Melchiorri, L. Pagano, B. Sherwin, and D. Spergel, *Constraining fundamental physics with future CMB experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 123504, [arXiv:1005.3808].

[49] K. Meissner and H. Nicolai, *Conformal symmetry and the standard model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **648** (2007) 312–317, [hep-th/0612165].

[50] W. Buchmuller and C. Busch, *Symmetry breaking and mass bounds in the standard model with hidden scale invariance*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **349** (1991) 71–90.

[51] C. Burgess, J. Conlon, L. Hung, C. Kom, A. Maharana, and F. Quevedo, *Continuous global symmetries and hyperweak interactions in string compactifications*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 073, [arXiv:0805.4037].

[52] L. Ibanez, F. Marchesano, and R. Rabadan, *Getting just the standard model at intersecting branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2001) 002, [hep-th/0105155].

[53] I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis, and J. Rizos, *Anomalous U(1)'s in type I string vacua*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **637** (2002) 92–118, [hep-th/0204153].

[54] L. Krauss and F. Wilczek, *Discrete gauge symmetry in continuum theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 1221–1223.

[55] T. Araki, T. Kobayashi, J. Kubo, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz, and P. Vaudrevange, *(Non-)abelian discrete anomalies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **805** (2008) 124–147, [`arXiv:0805.0207`].

[56] T. Araki, *Anomalies of discrete symmetries and gauge coupling unification*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **117** (2007) 1119–1138, [`hep-ph/0612306`].

[57] L. Ibanez, *More about discrete gauge anomalies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **398** (1993) 301–318, [`hep-ph/9210211`].

[58] H. Dreiner, C. Luhn, and M. Thormeier, *What is the discrete gauge symmetry of the minimal supersymmetric standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 0757007, [`hep-ph/0512163`].

[59] Y. Zel'dovich, I. Kobzarev, and L. Okun, *Cosmological consequences of a spontaneous breakdown of a discrete symmetry*, *JETP* **40** (1974) 1.

[60] H. Casini and S. Sarkar, *No cosmological domain wall problem for weakly coupled fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2001) 025002, [`hep-ph/0106272`].

[61] C. Froggatt and H. Nielsen, *Hierarchy of quark masses, Cabibbo angles and CP violation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979) 277–298.

[62] A. Datta, L. Everett, and P. Ramond, *Cabibbo haze in lepton mixing*, *Phys. Lett. B* **620** (2005) 42–51, [`hep-ph/0503222`].

[63] L. Anderson, J. Gray, A. Lukas, and E. Palti, *Heterotic line bundle standard models*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 113, [`arXiv:1202.1757`].

[64] L. Anderson, J. Gray, A. Lukas, and B. Ovrut, *Stabilizing all geometric moduli in heterotic Calabi-Yau vacua*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 106011, [`arXiv:1102.0011`].

[65] A. Denner, S. Heinemeyer, I. Puljak, D. Rebuzzi, and M. Spira, *Standard model Higgs-boson branching ratios with uncertainties*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1–29, [`arXiv:1107.5909`].

[66] G. Kane, S. King, I. Peddie, and L. Velasco-Sevilla, *Study of theory and phenomenology of some classes of family symmetry and unification models*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 083, [`hep-ph/0504038`].

[67] E. Komatsu et. al, *Seven-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: cosmological interpretation*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011) 18, [`arXiv:1001.4538`].

[68] A. Linde, M. Noorbala, and A. Westphal, *Observational consequences of chaotic inflation with nonminimal coupling to gravity*, *JCAP* **03** (2011) 013, [`arXiv:1101.2652`].